G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

~~191~~ .

(~~X~~)

Later references.

File No. 20 – 89

Serial Nos. 14–23.

P-32 B&C

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 14 – 23

Major ~~Part~~ B for February 98.

SUBJECT.

Treatment of certain excess land enjoyed by certain individuals partly as Inam and partly as assessed.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Indexed



Reference.

Remarks No 4 — dy 8-11-95 from the Dy Comr of Kapas.

Serial No 14 in file 243 of 1892-93 in the order relating to enlargement of Mahuad Canal. Extension to be required for a further report from the Dy Comr of Kapas.

On the basis of the report of the Executive Engineer, a letter from the Superintendent of the Canal Circle was pending. It was left to the Dy Comr for disposal or report with reference to the order quoted above. (Remarks Serial No 16).

File No 243
1892-93

(1) N. is impossible to specify the several questions now & where mistakes have been made at every step of progress & I am not at all sure that the rules of conversion were unavailable - but everything must have an end & so this also

(2) Mr Hassan Dy Comr would be told not to mend the whole settlement with abeyance

2

Report No 249
dy 4-10-94 fr the
Dy Commr reply to
Circal No 14-

Report No 594
is her reply to Circ No
No 16-

No orders have
as yet been passed
on these two Reports.

On 19-1-94 a report was called for as regards excep in minor diseases in Mysore Talukas.

The Dy Comr of Hassan sub report No 252 dy 4-10-94.

Reports have also been received from all the Dists except Kadur, Chikmagalur & Shimoga which reminders have been sent.

File No 75 of 95.96

Hassan now pends for early orders on his Reports No 249 & 252.

The first of these files was with the 2nd Councillor & the 2nd with the Revenue Board were recalled for reference - They are now sub'd to 2 Councillors for orders.

17/2/96

17/2/96

(2) and the settlement as effected by the Inam Survey Officers must be enforced because it is the individuals aggrieved to seek redress in due course — the results that be will proved to recover the arrears of Khalsa as settled by the Inam Officer

Draft Submitted

I see there are two individual complts

(3) 1. Ramaswamy Send the letter of the Asstt Collr of the 4th March 1894 to the Inam Supdt for such remarks as he may see fit to make on them — Also call for a detailed report of how this case was finally settled

Draft Submitted

(4) 2. Hegsudadevankote Rangaraja for his Inams in the Kunnigal Taluq

Draft Submitted Separately on Inam Misc D. C's 210, 2035 22-8-95. (Cy Nos. 1835 & 3597)

Gen. Serial No. 32.] 1894

No. C. No. 130 / 1038

Name of Petitioner. Ramaswamiayyar

Village. Tollanahalli – Chikkanayakanahalli Hobli

Taluk. Nanjanagud

District. Hassan

Date of Arzi. 20-10-94

Date of Receipt. 22-10-94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

Ref. No. 10

1932 of 1893-92

Serial No. 4

WC
1-11
Please put up the file refd to above

6/11.
Rev File 243 of 92 to put up

6/11/94

See Chief Secy's order. Write to Hattend to without delay & the file returned to the Rev. Branch

8/11

Issue the copy & regn

Rev. Dr.

Please put up the above file
No 243 of 92
MK
6/12

Rev File 243 of 92 to put up

7/12/94.

ABSTRACT.

States that petr having passed Proceedings that 50 per cent of the area found in Excess of the Extent of the Inam land should be treated as Inam he applied that similar concession should be shown to him also; that the matter was referred to the Dy. Comr. Hassan Distt. for disposal and petr that he brought the subject during the Representative assembly of the present Dasara when he was informed that as the Dy. Comr. had reported adversely in the matter, it would be disposed of on its merits if an appeal was preferred by him Prays therefore that he may be furnished with a private copy of the report of the Dy. Comr. above referred to, to enable him to file an appeal

24/10 Prepare a copy

We report that the Inam in question must be sent to the S. Supdt for a full report showing the details of the settlement of the Inam –

The petitioner's prayer cannot be granted –

2/2/98

Orders will be issued in due course in both these cases

The arrears will be held in abeyance & the papers relating thereto will be detached with a separate file.

3138 of 1895

Govindabbas Petn, 5 Sept 1895 – has to be similarly dealt with.

A report called for from the Inam & S. Supdt

4/2/98

Judgment entered accordingly

6-2-98

5 Sept 1895

2 drafts submitted herewith and 2 Extracts copies 5/2/98

W. 132
5

Deputy Commissioner's office
Hassan District.

Dated Hassan 7th June 1890.

From,

The officiating Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To,

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to the marginally noted correspondence received from your office, regarding the petitions of Kottanhally Ramiengar complaining against the settlement made by the Survey Superintendent of the Inam lands in his enjoyment in the villages of Kottanhally and Hennali, Manjarabad Taluk, I have the honor to submit the Vernacular File connected with the case and to report that from the records it would appear that the petitioner was, prior to Survey

Finyad memo No. 368 of 31-10-89.
584 of 12-3-90
654 of 24-3-90
20 of 3-4-90.

-es per margin. The Inam amounts were deducted from the assessment due by the petitioners on account of Sirkar lands in his wargs without the locality of the Inam lands assigned to him being specified (Vide Vasul Baki accounts and Kittum Chittas).

In the Survey Settlement the lands of his two wargs were divided into 14 different Survey numbers* assessed at Rs:116-4-0 as will be seen in the Vasul Baki accounts herewith submitted for reference. Out of these numbers Nos 9 in the Kottanhally village and No: 22 in the Hemmali village assessed at Rs:34 as against Rs:26-3-0 the original grant, were assigned as Inam and the rest were treated as Sirkar, the petitioner being required to pay an annual assessment of Rs: 82-4-0 as against 7-6-9 from both the villages after

In Kottanhally village Kanlio / Wargo			In Hemmali village Kanlio / Wargo			
2	2½	13-1-6	2	2½	13-1-6	
		26-3-0				Sirkar 3-4-11
						4-5-10

* Kottanhally village

S.No.	
6	5-8-0
9	18-0-0
11P	2-8-0
14	7-0-0
15P	2-0-0
19	7-0-0
22	13-0-0
24P	0-12-0
26	4-0-0
27P	0-8-0
28P	4-8-0
	64-12-0

Hemmali village

S.No.	
22	16-0-0
23	4-8-0
25	31-0-0
	51-8-0

Total 116-4-0

after deducting the amount of Inam as per Settlement. Against this settlement the petitioner complained to the Dewan and his petition dated october 1886 was referred to the Inam Superintendent for disposal. The Inam Superintendent having examined the Survey and Settlement papers instructed the Amildar to issue an endorsement to the petitioner in the terms that the numbers now treated as Inam have been carefully identified and shewn as such as will be seen from the remarks in the Vasul Baki accounts and that there exists no ground to interfere with this decision.

2. The petitioner has now appealed against this endorsement. In his statement given before the Amildar he alludes to certain cases of Inam of the kind in which the Survey

Superintendent was ordered that major
godi amount as per Dewan purnaiya's
settlement should be levied until
final settlement of minor Inams the
locality of which is not defined, and
states that this concession is not
extended to him. I beg to submit
the Vasul Baki accounts of the mar-
-ginally noted villages and a Vernacular
file ending with the Manjarabad Amildar's
R. 532 of the 17th August 1886.

1. Kumbarhalli
2. Halagalalay
3. Kangal Manay
4. Bilalahally

3. In conclusion I beg to state that
the submission of the record was delayed
owing to the sickness of the Shanbhogues
from whom the references were to be
obtained.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.
(Sd) C. Madiah
Offg: Deputy Commissioner.

Compared.
K. Elle.

Date of application for copy by Ramaswamiengar:- 20/10/94
Do ready for delivery— 12/11/94.
Do delivered—
No of words— 514
copying fee— 4-9-4
comparing fee— 4-2-4
paper— 4-2-3
4-11-3

Section
File No 30 Gen. Serial No. 32.
Serial No 19
1894 (21)
No. 1571

Name of Petitioner. Ramaswamiengar
Village. Kottanahalli.
Taluk. Manjarabad,
District. Hassan
Date of Arzi. 1-12-94.
Date of Receipt. 4-12-94
Date of Disposal.
No. of Enclosures. 1

ABSTRACT.

Prays that pending
disposal of the appeal pre
by him regarding the remissio
of half Assessment on his h
es in Kottanahalli and
nali Villages, the clam
the recovery of arrears o
demand may be ordered
held in abeyance &c.

Dewan Bahadur's Order as to

Submit to Revenue Council

Perhaps references early
Ulj 5/12

Has any official been filed in
this Rob, subsequent to January 93?
U) 12/2

Forwarded Bill
Dy Com Jr.
Consideration.
N. Report called for
in Docket No 11932
AP 243-93
17/11/93 shows
be expedited.
CR
17/12/94

Draft
14/12/94
Drafted
HKR
18/12

1008

22-12

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

22

2029

11

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1571

dated 1st Dec 1894 received at the Dewan's Office from Ramaswamy of Kottanahalli, Imam Taluk, praying that pending disposal of his appeal by Govt. ~~dismissed~~ for arrears of Kandayam ~~this~~ Inam not be demanded Inams may be held in abeyance

is forwarded, together with its one enclosures, to the Dy. Commr. Hassan District for consideration. The Upset called for in Docket No. 11932 R.F. 243-15 dated 17th January 1895 is to be expedited.

By Order,

Draft 4/12/94

Bangalore, 2-12-1894 } for Chief Secretary.

3.

SCKR 18/12 18/12

M.G.C.P.Bangalore.—3-5-94.—1,000.

Ramd case

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

(23)

File No. 2-29

Serial No. 47

189495 11088

R2988

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.

Dated 25th } February 1895.
Despatched 27th }
Received

Enclosures—No. —

General No. 598
No. 8

Submitting that the detailed Report called for regarding the concession sought for by Iraeudars in the Salvad Taluk has been made ready in this Office letter No. 249 dated 4th October 1894.

Rec 1/3/95

No 598
S

Office of Commissioner
Camp Lukenawanté
25th January 1895
27th

From The Deputy Commissioner
Hazara District

To The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Punjaub

Sir,

Adverting to Dewan's office
Memo No 1008 dt 17th December 1894,
requesting me to expedite the report
called for in your docket No
11932 (16) dt 17th January 1893, re-
243-93
garding the concession sought
for by the Inamdars in the
Mahud Taluks, I have the honor
to invite your attention to this
office letter No 249 dt 4th October
1894, in which a detailed report
called for has been submitted

I have the honor &c,
Sir,
Your most obt Servt
Asstt
Offg Dy Commissioner
Hazara District

Gen. Serial No. 31.]

1895

14755.

R4072

Section ____ From The Dy Commissioner Kaspan District

File No ____

Serial No ____

To

The Secretary to the Govt
Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 30th April 1895

Despatched 1/5/95

Received

Enclosures—No. one file of V.P.

General No. 831
No. Secy: of 1894-95

In continuation of this office letter No. 249 dated 4th October 1894, Submitting a report on the treatment of Ryots discovered in the Maladdi Inams and also on the complaint of Kotainahally Ramiengar, has the honor to Submit here-with the vernacular Proceedings connected with the matter under reference.

M. Ramiengar

Dy Commissioner
Kaspan district

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

Section 16

File No. [illegible]

Serial No. 17

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 3779
No. 21489
12 NOV. 95
DEWAN'S OFFICE

189

From

The Deputy Commissioner of
Nassau Dobbeich

To

Revenue

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the

Government ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 8th
Despatched 8th } November 1895.
Received

Enclosures No. one file & V.P.

General No. 4424
No. Secy

In reply to —

SUBJECT.

Requesting an early in-
structions on the subject
of excess charged by the
Survey Department
on the Minor Inam
Lands of Kottanahalli
Ramienpa and others of
the Inam assessed Talukh

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rev
Dept 2 days
21/11/1895

No. 424 Sur file
1895

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hassan District.
Dated, Hassan, 8th November 1895.

From,

A. Krishnaswamiengar Esq
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To,

The Revenue Secretary
to the Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

In submitting, herewith, the Vernacular correspondence ending with Manjarabad Taluk Amildar's letter No. 184/207 Dated 30th August 1895. I have the honor to state that on the complaint of Kottanahalli Ramaiengar and others of Manjarabad Taluk to Government regarding the excess charged by the Survey Department on their minor Inam lands, Mr. Purnaiya submitted two reports to Government as noted in the margin, and in doing so he passed an order to the Amildar of Manjarabad to hold in abeyance the excess charges on minor Inams as per Khaloat Register received from the Survey Superintendent, until the receipt of replies from Government.

2 ——— As the matter is long pending disposal, I solicit early instructions on the subject. ——— I have the honor to be,

Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
A. Krishnaswamiengar
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

* 1 — No 249 Dated 4th October 1894.
2 — do 252 Dated 6th October 1894.
(Copies)
S. No. 4 of R/4 75 of 95.

(83)
Gen. Serial No. 30.]

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.
Gl. No. 6664
R. No. 4609
5 MAR. 08
DEWAN'S OFFICE.

1895

From The Dy Commissioner
Hassan District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
Genl & Rev: departts

Dated 29th February 1896
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. 4

General No. 796/5 of 1895-96.

In reply to —

SUBJECT.

Requesting early orders on this office reference No. 249 dated 4th October 1894 on the subject of Khalsat imposed on the Inams in the Manjarabad Taluk. —

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Refs.
4/3/96

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Rev
4.3.96

No. 796
S.

From,

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Hapas District.
Dated Gunjur Sulleshpur 29th February 1895.

A. Krishnasamudra Esqre.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Hapas District.

Do,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue and Revenue Depts.
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs 125 9 per annum from the year 1893-94 due on account of Khalsat imposed on the minor Inams, in the Manjarabad Taluk has not been included in the Jamabundi and remains uncollected as no final orders have been passed on this office letter No: 249 Dated 4th October 1894 on the subject. On a Purnaiya, my predecessor, has ipred orders to the Amildar not to Collect this amount pending Government order in the matter.

I therefore ~~[illegible]~~ fore request early

orders on the reference.

I have the honorable
Sir,
your most obedt servant,

A. Krishnasamy
Dy Commissioner
Hapas District

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

GL. No. 20

Section 1897 JUL

From File No 83

Serial No

Mesrs Lawrence Pierce,
Bags au District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government Dewan of Mysore.
Queen's and Revenue,
Bangalore.

Dated 28
Despatched 29 } June 1897.
Received

Enclosures No. Ver papers.

General No.
No. 1214

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Requesting to obtain orders of Government on this office report in re treatment of Cases of diseased in the Malnad Areas of this District &c

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office.)

Mr Mills
1.7.97

No. 1214
Rev

Dy Commissioners Office
Hassan District.
Dated. Hassan 28 June 1897.

From,

The Dy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Generaland Rev: Departts.
Bangalore.

Sir,

In submitting, herewith, for information and kind return, Manjarabad Amildar's report No. 472 Dated 10th April 1897, together with the Amildar's report No. 1079 Dated 12th June 1896 referred to therein regarding the division of "Khalsah" lands, I have the honor to invite attention to this office letter No. 249 Dated 4th October 1894 and subsequent reminders thereto as shewn in the margin and to request the favor of final orders on the subject. The Amildars refuse

*
(1). No. 252 Dated 6. October 1895.
(2) No. 424 Dated 8. November 95.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

refuse to assist in phoding the lands as directed by the Survey Superintendent, pending desposal of their complaint prefered to Government. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

Dy Commissioner
Hassan District

Draft Proceedings

(35)

Serial No. D. 210 S.

Read:- (1) Letter No 132 87 the June 1890 from the Dy Commissioner, Hassan Dis bnd reporting on the Petition of Ramaswamiengar of Kottanahalli in the Channarayapatna Talukh, complaining against the Settlement made by the Survey Dept of the Inam lands in his enjoyment in the villages of Kottanahalli and Hamma-li of the same Talukh.

* P. no 19. (2) Letter no. 2498 4 the October 1894 from the same officer submitting a report with reference to Govt Proc no. 10168-71 Rev: 1330 dated 25th Decr 1892 regarding the treatment of excesses in Malnad Inams.

* P. no 23. (3) Letter no. 598 dated 25th February 1895 from the same officer reporting upon another Petition of Ramaswamiengar of Kottanahalli

(4) Docket no. 831 & 30 — April 1895 from the same officer. Submitting the records connected with the subject.

+ P.no 22.

Order thereon :-

Ordered that the communications read above and their enclosures be forwarded in original to the Supt. of Inam Settlements for favor of such remarks as he may be disposed to make on the Dy Commrs report of 4th October 1894. ~~He~~ Colonel Grant is also requested to submit a detailed report as to how the case of Kottanahalli Ramaswamingar was finally settled.

2. Pending final orders of Govt, the Deputy Commr. ~~while~~ hold in abeyance the recovery of the arrears of Khalsa

No. 7596-7 / R.F. 243-92 dt 11-2-98. 11.

Sm: 7.

assessment on [illegible] ...
- Kanhally Ramaswamingar.

9-2-98

Secretary

7/2/98 7/2/98

To.
The Supt of Inam Settlements
" Dy Commr
Hassan District.

Draft as per orders

(35)

Section 1
File No 20-29
Serial No 5

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No. 1214 dated 28th June 1897 from the Dy Commr. Hassan District, requesting the issue of early orders as to the final disposal of the excesses discovered in the Malnad Inams of his District, as the recovery of the Khalsat assessment imposed by the Survey Deptt is held in abeyance pending such orders.

No 7598 / R.F. 243-92 & 11-2-98 / 11

Encl: 2 files of V.P.

Order thereon:-

The Dy Commr ~~need~~ should not ~~be directed to~~ have the whole Settlement of the Inams into abeyance. The Settlement as effected by the Inam and Survey Departments must be enforced, leaving it to the

the individuals aggrieved to seek redress in due course. He will therefore proceed to recover the arrears of Khalsat as settled by the Inam Superintendent.

2. As regards Kottanahalli Ramaswamingar's case, the Dy Commr is referred to his office No. J 596-7 & 11-2-98. K-7-243-92.

9-2-98

7/2/98 7/2/98 Secretary

To.
The Dy Commr.
Hassan District.

returning the enclosures of his letters nos. 424 & 8/11/95 and 1214 & 28/6/97 -